### The Nuclear Accident at Fukushima

Anand Grover, UN Special Rapporteur on the right to health

# The Right to Health

- Article 12, ICESCR Right of individuals to enjoy the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health
- **General Comment 14**, CESCR- lays down the **framework** of the right to health and **principles** contained therein
- It is *not* the right to be healthy
- It is built on **rights and freedoms, and entitlements** necessary to realize the right to health

# The Right to Health

- Rights and freedoms include the right to information, informed consent and right to **participation** in decision making processes
- Entitlements include **healthy environment**, adequate and nutritious food, education and information
- **Non-discrimination** in all aspects of and decisions taken towards the realization of the right to health

# The Right to Health

- Independence, transparency, and accountability must be ensured, including private non-state actors
- Quality health facilities, goods and services must be available in adequate and sufficient quantity; accessible without discrimination and; acceptable
- Laws impacting the right to health must be **evidence-based**

- States have an obligation to
- **Respect** States must **refrain from interfering** directly or indirectly with the right to health of people, such as by withholding information
- **Protect** States must **prevent third parties** (non-state actors) from interfering with the right to health of people
- Fulfill States must adopt a national health policy and plan of action and put in place appropriate measures towards the realization of the right to health

- Right to health is **progressively realizable**
- Obligations are of three kinds continuing, immediate, and core obligations
- Continuing obligations mean that the right to health is progressively realizable
- They are subject to maximum available resources
- State are obliged to **continuously take steps** and have a **time bound plan** towards the full realization of the right to health

- There must be **non-retrogression** in policies
- Immediate obligations are not resource dependent
- They include **non-discrimination and participation**
- Taking **targeted**, **deliberate** and **time** bound steps towards the full realization of the right to health
- Putting in place benchmarks and indicators to measure progress
- Core obligations are non-derogable obligations of the State

- There is **no justification** for non-compliance with them
- Minimum essential levels of the right, such as provision of essential medicines, must be satisfied
- Ensuring participation of affected communities is a core and immediate obligation
- Affected **community** and **not just their elected representatives** must be at the decision making table, for
  instance when formulating and implementing evacuation plans
  and shelters, resettlement and decontamination policy

# Content and Meaning of Recommendations in the Report

# Disaster Management and Dissemination of Information

- The government lacked efficient disaster management system to contain an accident of this scale and magnitude
- There was a **significant time lag** in disseminating information after the accident and **designating certain** areas as evacuation zones
- Evacuation orders were not coordinated
- No distribution of Iodine prophylaxis, which is a standard step in nuclear disaster management was not undertaken and undermines people's right to access essential medicines

## Post accident policies and decisions

- State has based it's policy on the **ICRP recommendation**, there is no significant increase in cancer incidence in **doses below** 100mSv.
- Policies are based on information on **Chernobyl** which may not be reliable as it was released after 4 years
- WHO and UNSCEAR dismissed evidence of health anomalies, other than those related to thyroid
- Long-term exposure to low doses of radiation is linked to increased incidence of cancer

# Resettlement Policy

- The policy is based on the ICRP recommendation of increasing radiation dose to a reference level of 1mSv-20mSv/y during emergencies, based on a risk-benefit analysis
- This is **contrary to domestic law** of the country which requires areas with radiation doses of 1.3mSv/quarterly to be designated as controlled areas
- Post the Chernobyl accident countries such as **Ukraine** instituted laws under which acceptable radiation dose for living and working without **limitations is 1mSv/year**

# Monitoring health risks of radiation exposure

- The government instituted four health monitoring **survey** (excluding basic health survey) based on reports after Chernobyl, which acknowledged only the effect of radiation on the **thyroid gland** in individual exposed during childhood
- Surveys are **restricted in scope**, as other health anomalies have been ignored and they do not capture all potential health effects
- Surveys were **not conducted in all affected areas**

# Monitoring health risks of radiation exposure

- **Results** of thyroid examination of children are **not easily accessible** to parents
- Nuclear power plant workers employed through layers of sub-contractors are the most vulnerable. They were exposed to high levels of radiation during the accident and clean-up have been given no health survey or medical examination
- Monitoring stations set up by the government to measure radiation dose in the atmosphere do not capture radiation doses of areas, including hot spots, further away from the stations

# **Decontamination Policy**

- There is **no concrete and time bound plan** for reducing levels of radiation dose to maximum acceptable level of 1mSv/year beyond 2013
- Play grounds and residential areas are used to bury contaminated soil, putting people, especially children, at risk of getting exposed to radiation. There are no warning signs indicating the presence of such radioactive debris

# **Decontamination Policy**

- Affected **communities are undertaking decontamination activities**. Though participation is good, the government should provide residents with necessary information and appropriate equipment before decontamination is undertaken
- There is **no plan for temporary or permanent storage** of the contaminated debris. In furtherance of its right to health obligation, the government should, at the earliest, announce the site for temporary and permanent shelters with the participation of communities.

# Transparency and Accountability

- TEPCO was not held accountable due to **collusion** between the previous regulatory regime and the industry
- Recognising the need for transparency and independence in governance, the government created the Nuclear Regulation Authority
- TEPCO is liable under domestic law but the government's take over of TEPCO may help it avoid accountability and liability for damages
- Taxpayers may have to continue bearing the liability of the nuclear damage, for which TEPCO alone should be liable

# **Participation**

- Direct and effective **participation** of affected community is **crucial** to fulfilment of the right to health
- It is necessary to take into account **needs of vulnerable groups** such as persons with disability, older persons, pregnant women and young mothers
- Affected communities should be involved in **decision-making** processes including, **planning** disaster management and evacuation zones, decontamination policy, designing temporary shelters, **implementing** and monitoring these decisions
- Lack of participation led to ill-equipped evacuation shelters. Groups, like **persons with disabilities returned** to their homes in contaminated areas